

**Table 1 – Measures of key variables**

Key Variables and Research Questions	Options	Score
(First Research Question: <i>Are formal planning documents drawn up with the involvement of a number of public and private organisations of the network?</i> )	Organization of conferences, round tables, meetings, etc. for decision-making process related to network planning ( <i>active engagement at whole-of-network level</i> )	4
	Organization of conferences, round tables, meetings, etc. for decision-making process only related to sectorial planning network ( <i>active engagement only at sectorial level</i> )	3
	Planning documents including context analysis and long-term objectives related to the network, but without any active contributions by other network members ( <i>passive engagement</i> )	2
	Planning documents including context analysis and activities to be carried out at network level, but without a clear definition of long-term objectives and without any contributions by other network members ( <i>passive engagement and no clear network planning</i> )	1
	No access to planning documents	0
(Second Research Question: <i>Do the contents of formal planning documents focus on inputs, outputs or outcomes? Do they have a multi-perspective approach?</i> )	Objectives in terms of inputs, activities, outputs and outcomes ( <i>multi-perspective approach</i> )	4
	Objectives in terms of inputs, activities and outputs ( <i>lack of impact perspective</i> )	3
	Objectives in terms of input and activities ( <i>lack of result and impact perspective</i> )	2
	Purely financial objectives ( <i>priority to financial perspective</i> )	1
	No objectives ( <i>lack of objectives</i> ) or No access to planning documents	0
(Third Research Question: <i>Is network financial and social reporting adequate?</i> )	Financial and social reporting related to the whole-of-network level ( <i>focus on financial, human, technical resources and on activities, outputs and outcomes</i> )	4
	Financial and limited social reporting ( <i>focus on financial resources and on activities and outputs</i> )	3
	Financial and activity reporting ( <i>focus on financial resources and on activities</i> )	2
	Purely financial reporting ( <i>priority to financial resources</i> )	1
	No access to reporting documents	0

**Table 2 – Score of Key Variables**

Regional Governments	Key Variables			Total
	Engagement of all network members (kv1)	Setting of shared long-term objectives for the network (kv2)	Network Accountability (kv3)	
Reg. Gov. "A"	4	4	0	8
Reg. Gov. "B"	4	4	3	11
Reg. Gov. "C"	4	2	1	7
Reg. Gov. "D"	3	1	1	5
Reg. Gov. "E"	3	4	3	10
Reg. Gov. "F"	4	3	4	11
Reg. Gov. "G"	3	4	1	8
Reg. Gov. "H"	4	4	3	11
Reg. Gov. "I"	3	4	2	9
Reg. Gov. "J"	3	1	1	5
Reg. Gov. "K"	3	3	3	9
Reg. Gov. "L"	3	1	2	6
Reg. Gov. "M"	3	4	2	9
Reg. Gov. "N"	4	2	4	10
Reg. Gov. "O"	4	4	3	11
<i>Mean</i>	3,5	3	2,2	8,7
<i>SD</i>	0,52	1,25	1,21	2,19

**Table 3 – Framework for Mapping the Regional Governments’ Approach towards the Public Network**

		<i>NETWORK PLANNING</i>	
		<i>Financial and Activity Objectives</i>	<i>Multi-perspective Objectives</i>
<i>NETWORK ACCOUNTABILITY</i>	<i>Multi-perspective Accountability</i>	In-depth Accountability Network Approach	In-depth Planning and Accountability Network Approach
	<i>Financial and Activity Accountability</i>	Bureaucratic Network Approach	In-depth Planning Network Approach

**Fig. 1 – Network Planning (kv2) and Network Accountability (kv3) of Italian Regional Governments**

